| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 09/590,922 | SHAFIYAN-RAD ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Phung T. Nguyen | 2632 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Phung T. Nguyen</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Patrica S. Murphy No. 55,964</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 23 August 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 7 and 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant authorized the attached Examiner amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See the attached Examiner amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application | ant/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |

